



Nicolas GUILLAUME-GENTIL

Estudio de un sitio con tolas (montículos artificiales) y con modelo regular:
aproximación cronológica de su construcción mediante las tefras

Leonid VELARDE

La cronología absoluta y el Formativo en el extremo norte peruano

Dans les contributions des deux auteurs mentionnés, une uniformisation informatique a induit une erreur dans la restitution des données radiocarbones des laboratoires et des calibrations.

Aussi, les résultats présentés en «X±Y a.C.» doivent tous être lus comme «X±Y BP». Seules les dates calibrées auraient dû être publiées en «a.C.» ou «d.C.» (suivies de 1 ou 2 σ entre parenthèses).

En las contribuciones de los autores mencionados, una uniformización informática indujo un error en la restitución de los datos radiocarbónicos de los laboratorios y de las calibraciones.

Entonces, los resultados presentados en «X±Y a.C.» han de ser leídos «X±Y BP». Solo los datos calibrados hubieran tenido de ser publicados en «a.C.» o «d.C.» (seguidos de 1 ou 2 σ entre paréntesis).

Alexandre CHEVALIER

Archaeology + Ethnobotany = Palaeoethnobotany ?

The following errors have been brought to the Publisher's attention since publication.

Page 151, Figure 3

«Map of Pampa Chica» should read «Map of Pampa Chica (adapted from Dulanto & al.)»

Page 158

For unknown reasons acknowledgements did not appear at the end of the article. These are:

This paper is based on the archaeological materials from the Pampa Chica site, excavated by PUCP students under the direction of Jalh Dulanto, and supervised by Dr. Krzysztof Makowski director of the «Proyecto Arqueológico Tablada de Lurín» and Professor at the Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú (PUCP) in Lima.

The botanical materials have been extracted from the soil matrix and analyzed by the author in the Archaeology facilities and gardens of the PUCP.

I am therefore particularly indebted to Dr. Krzysztof Makowski for giving me access to the archaeological materials, and for providing me the necessary conditions of study within the Archaeology buildings of the PUCP.

I am thankful to Jalh Dulanto for all the archaeological information he transmitted to me about the Pampa Chica site, and to Luis Cáceres for his help in the PUCP Archaeology facilities.

I also wish to thank: Dr Deborah Pearsall of the Department of Anthropology of the Missouri University – Columbia for her scientific support and supervision; Dr. Alain Gallay of the Department of Anthropology of Geneva University for providing me technical and administrative assistance; Dr. Jean Wust of the Museum of Natural History in Geneva for the SEM views; and Patricia Garcia-Prieto for her revision of the article.

The author would like to publish the following additional notes:

Since its publication, some readers have contacted me with comments that have made me think that the aims of my article were misunderstood. I would like to re-state that the aim of my article was to show the heuristic limitations of interpretation in Andean archaeobotany. To illustrate this problem, I referred to some of the interpretations that had been made about the fabrication of *chicha* in pre-Columbian Peru.

It was therefore definitely not an article on maize, as some readers wrongly thought.

Nor was it my intention to irresponsibly publish original archaeobotanical data of the Pampa Chica site. I would like to state here that the data I referred to correspond to broad preliminary results, and although they are useful for the purpose of the article, they should not be taken as fully valid information *per se*.

Furthermore the bibliographical reference «Dulanto & al.» is still an unpublished working manuscript, and is therefore susceptible to changes until its publication. Mentions of it in this article were made under my own responsibility for the purpose of my demonstration, and does not necessarily reflect the author's current views.

I therefore would like to apologize for any misunderstanding which could have caused any problem to the researchers concerned.

